Acute myocardial infarction and allergy, causal or casual relationship?

José I Morgado Garcia-Polavieja1*; Margarita Castillo Paredes2; Enrique López Herrera3; Francisco Javier Fernández López3; Ana Blanca Paloma Martínez Pérez2; José Francisco Díaz Fernández2

1Cardiology Department, Juan Ramon Jimenez University Hospital, Huelva, Spain.  
2Internal Medicine Department, Juan Ramon Jimenez University Hospital, Huelva, Spain.  
3Emergency Department, Juan Ramon Jimenez University Hospital, Huelva, Spain.

Abstract

A 53-year-old man with a known allergy to metamizole presented for acute gonalgia, receiving metamizole by mistake. He suffers a severe allergy reaction accompanied by chest pain and signs of acute lower myocardial infarction, which subsides with treatment of anaphylactic shock and fibrinolysis, without observing intracoronary thrombus or signs of complication of atherosclerotic plaque.
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Case report

A 53-year-old man with a prior known allergic reaction to metamizole, with no relevant medical history, who attended the emergency department due to knee pain. He received, by mistake, an injection of metamizole, suffering a picture compatible with anaphylactic shock, in addition to chest pain.

The physical examination revealed a poor general condition, BP 70/40 mmHg, HR: 115 bpm, poorly perfused with elongated capillary filling, tachypneic, diaphoretic, generalized erythema. The presence of uvular edema and a long expiration, with wheezing, stood out.

A series of complementary tests were performed, which are detailed below: Electrocardiogram showing ascending of the ST on the inferior face (Figure 1). The blood analysis shows a slight elevation of Troponin T US (peak value 250 pg/ml). A transthoracic echocardiogram was performed in the acute phase, which showed hypokinesis of the inferior face of the Left Ventricle. The rest of the complementary tests, including chest X-ray, were normal.
In the emergency department, the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction was raised, probably related to a severe allergic reaction (Kounis syndrome).

Given the allergic shock, he was treated with fluid therapy, steroids, and antihistamines, and the symptoms quickly resolved. Despite this, precordial tightness was maintained, together with the alterations described in the ECG, and the patient was treated with intravenous nitrates without improvement for 45 minutes, for which it was necessary to administer systemic fibrinolysis with tenecteplase, the symptoms releasing and the ECG normalizing at 5 minutes (Figure 2). Emergent coronary angiography was performed (Figure 3), which showed a slightly atheromatous coronary tree, but without angiographic lesions or a remaining lysed intracoronary thrombus. The patient was discharged from hospital two days later, recovering normal contractility in all LV segments.

Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI) occurs as a consequence of the rupture of an atheroma plaque with the consequent adhesion of a thrombus [1], but we must know that sometimes AMI occurs for other causes, with different pathophysiological processes, that do not require antplatelet therapy (stress cardiomyopathy, Emboligenic AMI, myocarditis, coronary spasm).

Kounis syndrome, also known as allergic angina syndrome, was first described in 1991 by Kounis and Zavras [2]. It is “The concurrence of chest pain and allergic reactions, accompanied by clinical and laboratory findings of classical angina pectoris caused by inflammatory mediators released during the allergic insult”, Caused by inflammatory mediators released mainly from activated mast cells. The actual incidence of Kounis syndrome is yet to be determined. On an analysis of adverse drug-related events through, 51 cases of Kounis Syndrome were reported during the period of 2010-2014 [3]. An increase in the number of cases of Kounis syndrome is expected in the future as more physicians become aware of the condition.

KS should be kept in mind especially when diagnosing patients without CV risk factors and pre-existing CVD who experience acute coronary syndrome and report ingestion of a drug accompanied by symptoms of anaphylaxis [4]. Three variants have been described [5]; Type I includes normal coronary arteries without risk factors for CAD, and with the acute release of inflammatory mediators that may induce coronary artery spasm with or without cardiac enzymes elevation and subsequent myocardial infarction. Type II, which our patient most likely had, includes culprit but quiescent preexisting atheromatous disease in which the acute release of inflammatory mediators may induce coronary artery spasm with or without associated plaque erosion or rupture manifesting as acute myocardial infarction. Type III includes coronary artery stent thrombosis in which aspirated thrombus specimens stained demonstrate the presence of eosinophils and mast cells.

Several pathophysiological mechanisms have been described to explain the involvement of the heart in anaphylactic reactions. The main pathophysiological mechanism is vasospasm of epicardial coronary arteries due to increased inflammatory mediators that are released during a hypersensitivity reaction [6]. The existence of mastocytes in heart tissue and their participation in the anaphylactic reaction that triggers coronary vasoconstriction, dysfunctional ventricular contractility and blockade of atrioventricular conduction is well known. These abnormalities are attributed to the release of inflammatory mediators such as histamine, thrombin, prostaglandins, leukotrienes and platelet activation factors, as well as the release of rennin during episodes of anaphylaxis and its involvement [7].

The initial treatment of these patients requires the administration of intravenous steroids, antihistamines, and fluid replacement, as in our patient. In some cases, depending on the magnitude and extent of the allergic reaction, epinephrine, oxygen, and antithrombotics might be required prior to taking the patient for a coronary angiogram. These initial interventions are primarily intended not only to suppress the allergic reaction but also to dilate the coronary arteries. The latter is mainly accomplished using vasodilators such as nitrates and calcium channel blockers [8]. It is important to be reminded that morphine, a drug commonly used to treat patients presenting with
acute coronary artery syndromes, should be avoided in KS, as it may potentially stimulate histamine release and exacerbate the pathologic cascade paradoxically worsening the acute event. Similarly, beta-blockers should also be avoided in this setting if KS is highly suspected as it also may potentiate coronary vasospasm due to an unopposed alpha-adrenergic action. When epinephrine is used for the acute treatment of anaphylaxis, careful monitoring is indicated as epinephrine may potentially worsen coronary vasospasm, aggravating coronary ischemia in KS patients [9].

**Conclusion**

This case provides two aspects as original and interesting for daily clinical practice: It is a little-known and probably under-diagnosed entity; In addition, the clinical picture resolved with systemic fibrinolysis; Therefore, we cannot be sure if it was a casual or causal relationship, since in the early coronary angiography there were no data of lysed thrombus, which makes us wonder if it was a coronary spasm associated or not with coronary intraluminal thrombus. It would have been important to perform intracoronary imaging techniques to ensure the diagnosis.
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