Introduction

Hamartoma is traditionally defined as a benign tumour-like malformation composed of aberrant disorganized growth of mature tissues, often present at birth, but that can also be acquired later in life. Although it may occur in any body site, Urinary Bladder Hamartoma (UBH) is extremely rare. The first case of UBH was reported in 1949 by Davis [1] and, ever since, only fourteen other cases (including our patient) have been reported, as an isolated entity, or as part of a hereditary syndrome, such as Peutz-Jeghers syndrome, Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, Goldenhar Syndrome or multiple hamartomatous syndrome, also known as Cowden disease [2,3].

Results

A 67-year-old man was referred to our clinic with an incidental finding in a urinary bladder Ultrasound (US) of an 8 mm polypoid lesion on the posterior bladder wall. The patient had no associated Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS), nor suprapubic pain or macroscopic haematuria.

Past medical history was remarkable for a mediastinal esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, stage IV (TNM stage classification according to 7th Edition of the AJCC Cancer Staging), treated between 2013 and 2014 with external radiotherapy (58 Gy/32 fractions) plus 4 cycles of cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil and no evidence of recurrence to date. He was a heavy smoker of about 80 pack-year. His family history was unremarkable.

A physical examination did not show any contributory factor. Cystoscopic examination revealed a 1 cm polypoidal pedunculated lesion at the bladder neck. Patient underwent a Transurethral Resection of the Bladder Tumour (TURBT) lesion and the specimen was sent for histopathology. As the lesion macro-
scopically seemed a low-grade non-muscle invasive urothelial carcinoma, patient was given a single intravesical instillation of mitomycin 40 mg, two hours after surgery. Histopathological examination revealed, at low magnification, a polypoid lesion composed of crowded nests, resembling invaginated urothelial nests. The stromal component was composed of thin elongated spindle-shaped cells, which show immunoreactivity for Smooth-Muscle Actin (SMA), thus confirming the presence of a surrounding muscular stroma. At high magnification, both epithelial and stromal components presented bland cytologic features. Epithelial component displays positivity for GATA3 immunostaining (Figure 1).

After 12 months of follow-up, patient remains asymptomatic and no recurrence was recorded on cystoscopy.

Figure 1: (A-F). All low magnification a polypoid lesion composed of crowded nests, resembling invaginated urothelial nests, its observed (A-4x; B-10x). The stromal component is composed of thin elongated spindle-shaped cells, which show immunoreactivity for smooth-muscle actin (SMA) (E), thus confirming the presence of a surrounding muscular stroma. At high magnification, both epithelial and stromal components present bland cytologic features (C-20x;D-40x). F. Epithelial components displays positivity for GATA3 immunostaining

Discussion

In all reported cases, UBH presents as a solitary lesion, ranging between 3 mm and 9 cm. Most of the cases are diagnosed in the context LUTS, gross haematuria or suprapubic pain. In this regard, our patient was the first case reported as an incidental finding in an otherwise asymptomatic adult [2,3]. Therefore, this presentation is not in agreement with solely proposed pathophysiology by Davis, that postulated that UBH may be a result of a chronic inflammatory process [1]. Those lesions are usually diagnosed by conventional radiological exams, such as urinary bladder US or pelvic Computed Tomography (CT), or by cystoscopy. Macroscopically, UBH cannot be distinguished from other bladder lesions and, in our specific case, it mimicked a small urothelial bladder carcinoma. For that reason, only pathologic examination enables a definitive diagnosis. Although the optimal management of UBH is not established due to the rarity of these lesions, in our understanding, the initial approach should be the same as the adopted for other bladder suspicious lesions, namely, a TURBT, if manageable endoscopically, or, if not, solely a biopsy, for a future more radical procedure, such as partial cystectomy.

All the reported cases had a benign behaviour, including invasive hamartomas, and no recurrences was seen in isolated UBH, although the surveillance described were not superior of five years [2,3]. For this reason, although cystoscopy should be the method of choice for surveillance, there is no agreement regarding the schedule and time of follow-up, with some authors advocating that cystoscopy should be performed every three months for a year and then yearly for four years or simply a long-term periodic cystoscopy as surveillance for recurrence. In our case, surveillance was performed with cystoscopy at 3 months, and then, at one year, and no signs of recurrence were noted [2,3].

Conclusion

Urinary bladder hamartoma is a very rare reported bladder lesion with a presentation undistinguishable from other bladder tumours, such as, urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. In this regard, the initial management should be a TURBT, in order to obtain a histopathological diagnosis and, if completely resectable, to cure the patient, as no recurrence was reported so far in isolated UBH. Given the sparse experience with UBH, no validated follow-up protocol can be advised. Therefore, surveillance should be based on cystoscopy, even though no specific schedule exists.
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